# **Droplet Combustion Image Analysis Using CNN** Yiren Shen, Ujjwal Dalmia

# Stanford University

## Motivation

With the motivation to improve fuel efficiency, the aerospace industry strives to gain a better understanding of the combustion process. For this purpose, free-floating droplet combustion experiments were conducted within the CIR at the International Space Station<sup>[1]</sup>. Droplet burning history, recorded by a back-lit black and white camera, can be used to measure the droplet diameter (D). Currently there's two ways to measure the diameter of the droplet: a) a rulebased computer algorithm, and b) manual measurements<sup>[2]</sup>. The first method is prone to noise and the second is tedious. Neither of these paths is ideal, and a robust algorithm that can extract droplet diameter, even from sooty images, is desired. Therefore this study looked into using ML to measure droplet diameter from the images.





### Dataset

The dataset of images for this study was downloaded from NASA's Physical Science Information System<sup>[3]</sup>. A total of **6022** images were imported for Decane-Propylbenzene droplets in combustion. The dataset had droplet combustion sequences with various initial diameters.

t²/D<sub>0</sub> (s/mm<sup>2</sup>)



To reduce the number of features and make the problem computationally tractable, an algorithm was developed to identify the droplet, iteratively update location of ROI, and perform cropping. This technique (illustrated below) proved to be useful and robust.



Initially the network was trained on compressed raw images (128x128 px). In this set up, the largest droplet was about 30 pixels in diameter and a best-performance convolutional layer (CL) used 40 40x40 pixel convolutions. It was found that noise in raw image skewed the regression, therefore the images were cropped as discussed in the dataset section. The performance of the CNN improved significantly on cropped set since a large part of the noise was filtered out.

Through extensive experiments, reasonable model had high error on CV set and low levels of accuracy were achieved. error on training set, therefore regularization Regression deviation bins of  $\pm 5\%$  and was added to mitigate high variance error.  $\pm 2.5\%$  were set to categorize CNN This modification helped improve accuracy. performance on acceptable and good The neural net. performance for different performance. During training, we found our runs can be seen in the table below.

Regularization ( $\lambda$ )	CL size	Dataset Type	Accuracy (±5%)	Accuracy (±2.5%)
0.0	20 20x20	Raw	36%	19%
0.0	40 40x40	Raw	56%	31%
0.0	20 100x100	Cropped	92%	62%
3.00E-03	20 100x100	Cropped	92%	63%
1.00E-02	20 100x100	Cropped	88%	58%
<b>3.00E-02</b>	20 100x100	Cropped	96%	71%
1.00E-01	20 100x100	Cropped	78%	47%

For training, a piecewise learning rate drop and an initial learning rate of 0.0001. scheme using minibatch gradient descend dropping every 150 epochs by a drop factor was used. Best performance was achieved of 0.3. These parameters were tuned in a using a minibatch size of 64, 200 epochs manner similar to tuning  $\lambda$ .



Department of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Stanford University

## **Network Training and Architecture**

The Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) structure used can be seen on the right. The convolution layer uses 20 100x100 pixel convolutions on cropped images. A ReLU activation function is used after the convolutional layer followed by a fully-connected layer and a regression layer that outputs the measured diameter.



### Results

#### Feature Reduction

Excessive features such as soot aggregates on raw image created difficulties for the regression model as the noise looks similar to the droplet and the model failed to identify the correct object to measure. Cropping images removes the disturbances; the improvements can be seen in histograms on the right.

#### Regularization

The accuracy on reduced dataset, especially within  $\pm 2.5\%$ bin, was low. This is due to high variance error and regularization was applied to the regression model to fix this. The right bottom histogram shows how regularization helps to increase accuracy in  $\pm 2.5\%$  bin.

The plots below show the predicted diameters using the best case regularized and unregularized CNNs with reference data from manual measurements.





### Discussion



#### **Future Work**

to the derivative of the diameter-squared,

would have a high error. Using the knowledge of the dynamics of the system, this problem can possibly be fixed. The reason this was not attempted was that the dynamics differ for when the droplet is

Stewart and Ermon<sup>[4]</sup> demonstrated the use burning and after flame extinguishment for of CNNs to solve regression problems with some test runs. The capturing of this un-labeled data using knowledge of the transition requires analyzing color images dynamics of the system. One of the issues simultaneously with our dataset. In the with the prediction of droplet diameter in future a model of the dynamics of the this project was that the output was noisy system may be applied to improve the and the burning rate, which is proportional performance of our regression model.



#### **References and Acknowledgement**

The authors of this study would like to thank Younes and Paul for their help in the project. The authors also would like to thank Yuhao Xu from Prairie View A&M University in sharing some of the labeled data.

[1] Dietrich et al., "Droplet combustion experiments aboard [3] NASA Physical Science Information System. the int. space station,"Micro-gravity Sci. & Tech., 2014 https://psi.nasa.gov/

images from droplet combustion experiments", 2012.

[2] Dembia et al., "Automated data anal. for consecutive [4] R. Stewart et. al., "Label-free supervision of neural networks with physics and domain knowledge.," 2017.